## CONFIDENTIAL

25 April 1955

TO:	Dir	etor of Central Intelligence	M N Ld
V IA:	Deputy Director (Support)		
sualect:	Pro	CORG CLA	
REFERENCES:	R.	Hemo from IG to DCI dtd 20 Apr 54, Subject, "Survey of the Office of Training"	55x1
	b.	Name from DTR to DCI dtd 4 Jun 54, Subject, "Survey of the Office of Training"	22 of 19 mm 17 mm
	c.	Staff Study from DTR to DCI dtd 22 Sep 54, Subject, "CIA Overseas Training"	NATACED NO.
25X1	d.	Namo from BCI to DD/P. DD/A. DTR and IS dtd 12 Jan 55. Subject.	25×1
	6.	Memo from C/OPS-DD/P to Senior Staffs and Area Divisions DD/P dtd 31 Jan 55, Subject, "Overseas Training"	N SER OR
•	ſ.	Memo from DTR to C/RCS/DDS dtd 17 Feb 55, Subject, "Revised Statement of Mission and Functions, Office of Training"	62-50139 SSI DECLAR TV DATE 89 SSI CREAT
1. PROBLEM	•	•	IOB NO. E
To recor to carry ties of	y out	the actions required to permit the Director of Training his responsibilities for non-OTA conducted training actingency.	
2. FACTS H	SAR IN	G ON THE PROBLEM	
twainin	ees t	dated 18 January 1954, which is currently in each Director of Training to "develop and direct Agency grams" (in the U.S.); "review Office training programs the job training, and sayise and assist the Offices in	B,

MORI/CDF

development, direction, and conduct of such training" and further "...to

25X1

represent the Director of Central Intelligence on subjects related to training..."

b. In the report of his survey of the Office of Training, dated 20 April 1954 (reference a.), the Inspector General made the following recommendations relating to Problem 1. above. In each case the Office of Training comment to the Director of General Intelligence, in response to the findings of the Inspector General is included as follows:

25X1

(1) TO MERCHANNET TON 7: All training in the United States, particularly be placed at least under staff supervision (if not command) of VIK.

OTR COMMENT: Do not concur. I cannot accept responsibility for staff supervision over training programs without authority to examine training objectives, determine methods of instruction, prescribe training centent, establish training standards, or select the instructional staff.

- (2) KG RECOMMENDATION 6: All training done by other offices, e.g., language of FDD, various projects of ORR, be put under the staff supervision of OTR.
  - OTR COMMENT: Concur in principle, provided OTR is given sufficient authority to enable it to perform the supervisory function effectively.
- (3) TO RECOMMENDATION 5: A committee composed of one representative each from DD/I, DD/A, DD/F, OTR and chaired by a representative of the Management Staff, should review all non-OTR training conducted by the Agency. Training best conducted by OTR should be transferred to it. Agent training conducted by the DD/P area divisions should be given at least staff supervision by OTR to ensure a minimum of duplication and everlapping.

CONSENT: Consur with part one; such a review is needed. While I concur in principle with part two of this recommendation, it should be noted that supervision is now effected by senior members of the respective area divisions and appropriate DD/P staffs. Transfer of supervisory responsibility to the Office of Training without clearly defining the degree of supervision desired and without commensurate muthority would produce ineffectual results.

- e. In further consideration of the problem cited above and the Inspector General's report, the Director of Training on 4 June 1954 (reference b.) advised the Director of Central Intelligence that:
  - "(1) Should the Office of Training assume responsibility for staff supervision of training conducted by other offices of the Agency, an obvious prerequisite is the establishment of commensurate authority

by directive. The scope of this problem will be contingent upon the type and extent of staff supervision to be exercised by this Office.

- "(2) There is a definite need to define and delineate responsibility for the conduct of covert training in the United States. At present there is insufficient coordinated planning with the Agency for covertly conducted training. Such training is conducted net only by the Project Training Division of this Office at the request of the fereign divisions, but also by the of the SR Division, DD/P, and by all the rereign divisions acting separately and without reference to the Office of Training. This situation clearly indicates the need for policy decision and clarification of responsibility.
- "(3) The Office of Training has no current responsibility for training conducted overseas. This Office has avoided involvement in the many problems of everseas training except in these instances when specific requests for assistance have been received from DD/P. The need for centralised central of everseas training becomes more and more apparent as the results of separate training projects are examined in terms of economy of effort and over-all effectiveness. Resolution of this problem will require a policy decision concerning the establishment of responsibility and authority for centralized central of everseas training and policy direction concerning the procedures and phasing involved in implementing this decision."
- d. On 22 September 1954 the Director of Training presented to the Director of Central Intelligence a staff study, subject "CIA Overseas Training" (reference c.), which identified deficiencies known to exist in the Agency's overseas training effort, and which recommended that the Director of Training be given specified staff responsibilities for coordination and technical supervision of the Agency's overseas training effort. It was consideration of this study which influenced the actions cited in paragraphs e. and f. fellowing.
- e. In approving the findings of the Inspector General's Committee, established to determine the need for a permanent elandectine services foreign national training site, the Director of Central Intelligence on 12 January 1955 (reference d.), vested the Director of Training with responsibilities as eited below:

The Director of Training sh	all be responsible for technical supervision
of all training activities	on the site. This responsibility includes:
approval of the qualificati	ons of personnel nominated and assigned to
serve on the training staff	; appreval of all training doctrine, methods
of instruction, testing, ev	eluation, and assessment precedures and
training materials used: he	adquarters coordination in planning and review
of training projects	headquarters technical support to the
training effort	and, assistance to the Chief, Inspection and
Review Staff, DU/F, in peri	odic inspection and reporting on the status of
the training effort."	
Andrew the community of the first term and the contract of the	

25X1

25X1

25X1

f. In advising the Chiefs of Semisr Staffs and Area Divisions of the action cited in paragraph e. above, on 31 January 1955 (reference e.) the Chief of Operations, MD/P, added the following enlargement of the responsibilities of the Director of Training to include all overseas training activities of ND/P:

25X1

Control Staff, DD/S, a revised statement of missions and functions for coordination and prompligation (reference f.). This action will clarify and define the "staff" as distinguished from "line" responsibilities of the Director of Training for the total training effort of the Agency not only in the United

States but also overseas. The relevant language of the revised statement of missions and functions of the Director of Training is stated as follows:

(1) Mission: The Director of Training, as the representative of the Director of Central Intelligence and the Deputy Director (Support) on all matters related to training, is responsible for the coordination, technical supervision, review and support of all Agency training activities, foreign or domestic.

(2) Functions: So responsible for the coordination, technical supervision, review and technical support of all Agency training activities in head-quarters or at United States and fureign field installations of the Agency not under his immediate jurisdiction, including: review and approval of the qualifications of personnel nominated and assigned to serve as instructors or training staff officers; review and approval of all training doctrine, methods of instruction, training meterials, testing, evaluation and assessment procedures to be used; headquarters coordination in planning, developing, review and inspection of training projects, programs, installations and activities; and, headquarters givice, assistance and technical support to such training activities, including on-the-job training.

#### J. DECES LN:

25X1

- a. Official actions which remain to be taken in order to resolve the problem under consideration in this paper are listed below and discussed in the order listed in following paragraphs:
  - (1) Approval and promalgation, by the DB/S, of the revised statement of mission and functions of the Office of Training (reference f.).
  - (2) The conduct of surveys of non-OTE conducted training within DE/I, DE/P, and DD/S, including overseas training, as recommended by the Inspector General and the analysis of the results thereof.

-4-

# b. Remised Statement of Hission and Functions of the Office of Training

- (1) In developing the new statement of missions and functions of the Office of Training the role of the Director of Training was interpreted as being:
  - (a) Steff Officer to the DD/S and Director of Central Intelligence with respect to the total training effort of the Agency.
  - (b) Line Officer with responsibilities to plan and direct specified training programs.
- (2) As Staff Officer for training, it is held that the Director of Training should have general oversight of all training activities of the Agency in order that he may be able at all times to make responsible recommendations to the ID/S and the Director of Control Intelligence on the status of the whole or any part of the Agency's training activities, and related training problems, foreign or identities and further that he given responsibilities and authorities which enable him to bring the experience and competence of his affice to hear upon the resolution of all Agency training problems.
- 25X1

  (3) In developing reference f., consideration was given to the alternative of vecting the Director of Training with line responsibilities for training and for operating the of the 5k Division, DD/P. It was decided to user action to seek such sutherity until the survey of non-OTK conducted training, as recommended by the Inspector Ceneral has been completed (reference a. Section 2, perugraph a. (3), page 2.).
  - (4) The Deputy Director (Plans) has accepted the foregoing interpretation of the role of the Director of Training and has enjoined Semior Staff and Division Chiefs, in reference s., to coordinate the resolution of its training problems, beginning with the initial planning stages, with the Office of Training.

#### c. Surveye of Sensorial Combined Prainting

- (1) The Office of Training agrees in principle with the inspector General that a survey of all mon-OTE training conducted by the Agency should be made. It is believed that this survey should consist of four parts, one for each of the three major components of the agency; namely, DD/I, DD/S and DD/P, and a completely separate survey of the overseas training conducted by the DD/P.
- (2) It is further agreed that the Hanagament Staff, ED/E, should conduct the three headquarters surveys with participation of the Office of Training in each case; but that the survey of ED/P overseas training

-5-

### SECRET

activities should be conducted by the Imspection and Review Staff, SD/P, with representation from OTE and if necessary, a representative of the Fanagement Staff, ED/S.

- (3) The objective of these surveys is two-fold: first, to provide data upon which the training effort of the agency can be properly appraised and recommendations made for its improvement; and second, to determine what should be transferred to the Office of Training.
- (4) Upon completion of the surveys, the data resulting therefrom should be made available to the Office of Training for analysis and recommendations by the Director of Training, which would be submitted through the Hanagement Staff, DD/S, to the Deputy Director (Support) for his review and approval.

#### 4. CUICLE DE

- Reference f., revises statement of mission and functions of the Office of Training, represents a charter for the Director of Training which now has general acceptance within DD/P and which represents the DGI concept of the role of the Director of Training. Further, responsibilities and suthorities of the Director of Training should be considered after completion of a series of surveys of non-OTE conducted training throughout the Agency in headquarters and oversees. Approval and promilgation of reference f. should not, however, small the findings of the surveys in view of the fact that the Director of Training is currently engaged, at the request of the LU/F, in carrying out additional functions with respect to non-OTE conducted training. A copy of reference f. is included at Tab A.
- b. The Hanagement Staff, ND/E, and the Inspection and Review Staff, ND/F, should be directed to undertake a series of surveys within handquarters, ClA, and overseas to determine the nature and extent of non-WH conducted training and related data concerning such training. The action papers to initiate these surveys are included at Tab B.
- 5. RECOMMENDATIONS:
- a. Fromalgation of the revised statement of mission and functions of the Office of Training as stated in Tab A be approved and authorised.
- b. The Hamagement Staff, DD/S, he directed to initiate the surveys in accordance with the specifications contained in Tab D.

e.	£	M	r	n	
-31	п	и.	г.	ĸ	

MATTER MADED

Alba A and it

-6-

# Approved For Release 2006/08/31 : CIA-RDP62-00939A000100120002-6

CONCUMBINACISE :	
Deputy Director (Suggest)	Date
Deputy Birector (Flame)	Date.
Deputy Director (Intelligence)	Pate
ACTION AN APPROVING ACTION INTO	
APPROVED :	
	Eate

··\* //---

## SECRET